Towards a Unified Query Plan Representation

Jinsheng Ba^{1,2}, Manuel Rigger²

¹ETH Zurich, ²National University of Singapore





Query Plan

Query Plan



Query Plan Applications: Visualization



DBMS-specific query plan visualization tools.

Query Plan Applications: Testing



[1] Jinsheng Ba & Manuel Rigger. (2023). Testing Database Engines via Query Plan Guidance. In Proceedings of International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE).
[2] Jinsheng Ba & Manuel Rigger. (2024). Finding Performance Issues in Database Engines via Cardinality Estimation Testing. In Proceedings of International Conference 5
on Software Engineering (ICSE).



Building applications on query plans is challenging!

- Non-trivial effort
 - More than 1000 DBMSs in the world [1]
- Query plans are not well documented [2]



14.1. Using EXPLAIN

14.1.1. EXPLAIN Basics 14.1.2. EXPLAIN ANALYZE 14.1.3. Caveats

PostgreSQL devises a *query plan* for each query it receives. Choosing the right plan to match the query structure and the properties of the data is absolutely critical for good performance, so the system includes a complex *planner* that tries to choose good plans. You can use the EXPLAIN command to see what query plan the planner creates for any query. Plan-reading is an art that requires some experience to master, but this section attempts to cover the basics.

[1] https://dbdb.io/

[2] https://www.postgresql.org/docs/current/using-explain.html

Contributions

1. A study of query plan representations 9 real-world DBMSs

2. A proposal for a unified query plan representation



3. Applications

Testing, Visualization, Benchmarking

Study Targets

DBMS	Version	Data Model	Release	Rank
InfluxDB	2.7.0	Time-series	2013	28
MongoDB	6.0.5	Document	2009	5
MySQL	8.0.32	Relational	1995	2
Neo4j	5.6.0	Graph	2007	22
PostgreSQL	14.7	Relational	1989	4
SQL Server	16.0.4015.1	Relational	1989	3
SQLite	3.41.2	Relational	1990	10
SparkSQL	3.3.2	Relational	2014	37
TiDB	6.5.1	Relational	2016	84

Study Result

EXPLAIN (SUMMARY TRUE) SELECT t1.c0 FROM t0 INNER JOIN t1 ON t0.c0 = t1.c0 WHERE t0.c0 < 100 GROUP BY t1.c0 UNION SELECT c0 FROM t2 WHERE c0 < 10;



Three shared conceptual components

Hash join,

merge join.....

THE NUMBER OF OPERATIONS AND PROPERTIES IN QUERY PLAN REPRESENTATIONS. RA: RELATIONAL ALGEBRA.

Study Result

	Operations							Properties					
DBMS	Producer	Combinator	Join	Folder	Projector	Executor	Consumer	Sum	Cardinality	Cost	Configuration	Status	Sum
RA Operator	s σ	$\cup,\cap,-$	\bowtie, \times	γ	Π								
InfluxDB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	1	6
MongoDB	14	9	0	5	3	10	3	44	16	5	18	12	51
MySQL	15	3	2	1	0	2	0	23	3	6	3	10	22
Neo4j	18	11	43	6	3	17	13	111	3	3	12	7	25
PostgreSQL	18	8	3	3	0	9	1	42	8	17	42	40	107
SQL Server	15	3	3	3	0	16	19	59	4	4	7	3	18
SQLite	3	6	3	0	0	5	0	17	0	0	3	0	3
SparkSQL	7	1	2	6	0	43	18	77	11	11	0	0	22
TiDB	19	6	7	5	1	13	5	56	2	5	4	1	12
Avg:	12	5	7	3	1	13	7	48	6	6	10	8	30
										5			
	Fu	ll scan, ir	ndex					Me	mory,				
		scan	•					tim	ne			10	

The commonalities across DBMSspecific query plans make a unified representation possible.

Unified Query Plan Representation (Uplan)



DBMSs

Unified Query Plan Representation (Uplan)



Application: Testing



Application: Benchmarking

PostgreSQL: six table scanning TiDB: four table scanning

Comparing the unified query plan representation provides actionable insights. SELECT ... FROM PARTSUPP, SUPPLIER, NATION WHERE ... HAVING ... > (SELECT ... FROM PARTSUPP, SUPPLIER, NATION WHERE ...) ...;

PostgreSQL: TiD	B:			
Bag->Sort Projector->Project				
Folder->Aggregate Ba	g ->Sort			
Join->Hash Join F	older ->Aggregate Hash			
Producer ->Full Table	Projector->Project			
name object: partsupp Join ->Index Hash				
Executor->Hash Row	Join ->Index Hash			
Join ->Hash	Executor->Collect			
Producer ->Full Table	Producer ->Full Table			
name object: supplier	name object: <u>nation</u>			
Executor ->Hash Row	Executor->Collect Order			
Producer->Full Table	Producer ->Index-only			
name object: <u>nation</u>	name object: supplier			
Folder->Aggregate	Executor->Collect Order			
Join ->Hash Join	<pre>Producer->Index-only</pre>			
Producer ->Full Table	name object: partsupp			
name object: partsupp	Producer ->Id Scan			
Executor->Hash Row	name object: partsupp			
Join->Hash Join				
Producer ->Full Table				
name object: supplier				
Executor ->Hash Row	-			
Producer ->Full Table				

name object: nation

Application: Visualization

PostgreSQL

MongoDB



Existing DBMS-specific visualization tools could support more DBMSs if they supported our unified query plan representation.

Conclusion

Seq Scan on t0

Filter: (c0 < 5)

TableReader_7

-Selection_6

-TableFullScan_5

PostgreSQL

TiDB

DBMSs







